EXHIBIT 1

Case 2:15-cv-01523-JAD-PAL Document 1-2 Filed 08/10/15 Page 2 of 21

8/6/2015

https://www.clarkcountycourts.us/Anonymous/CaseDetail.aspx?CaseID=11609035

Ship to Main Content Logout My Account Search Menu New District Civi/Oriminal Search Refine Location District Court Civil/Criminal Help Bearch Back

REGISTER OF ACTIONS CASE No. A-15-720869-C

Michael Yazdì, Plaintiff(s) vs. Connect America.com LLC,

Defendant(s)

999999

Date Filed:

Case Type: Other Civil Matters 07/02/2015 Location: Department 15 Cross-Reference Case A720869

Number:

PARTY INFORMATION

Defendant Connect America.com LLC Doing

Business As Medical Alarm

Plaintiff

Yazdi, Michael R

Lead Attorneys

Scott A. Marquis Retained 7023820711(W)

EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT

OTHER EVENTS AND HEARINGS

07/02/2015 Complaint

Complaint

07/13/2015 Demand for Jury Trial

Jury Demand

07/13/2015 Disclosure Statement

NRCP Rule 7.1 Disclosure Statement

07/16/2015 Affidavit of Service Affidavit of Service

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

	Plaintiff Yazdi, Michael Total Financial Assessm Total Payments and Cro Balance Due as of 08/	ent edits		280.50 280.50 0.00
07/02/2015	Transaction Assessmen	t		273.50
07/02/2015	Wiznet	Receipt # 2015-69472-CCCLK	Yazdi, Michael R	(270.00)
07/02/2015	Wiznet	Receipt # 2015-69473-CCCLK	Yazdi, Michael R	(3.50)
07/14/2015	Transaction Assessmen	t		`3.5Ó
07/14/2015	Wiznet	Receipt # 2015-73167-CCCLK	Yazdi, Michael R	(3.50)
07/14/2015	Transaction Assessmen	t		3.50
07/14/2015	Wiznet	Receipt # 2015-73169-CCCLK	Yazdi, Michael R	(3.50)

DISTRICT COURT CIVIL COVER SHEET

A-15-720869-C

XVClark County, Nevada Case No. _ (Assigned by Clerk's Office) I. Party Information (provide both home and mailing addresses if different) Plaintiff(s) (name/address/phone): Defendant(s) (name/address/phone): MICHAEL R. YAZDI, individually and on behalf of all persons CONNECT AMERICA.COM, LLC a Delaware limited similarly situated liability company dba MEDICAL ALARM, et al. Attorney (name/address/phone): Attorney (name/address/phone): Scott A. Marquis, Esq. (NV Bar No. 6407) Marquis Aurbach Coffing 10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, NV 89145 (702) 382-0711 II. Nature of Controversy (Please select the one most applicable filing type below) Civil Case Filing Types Real Property Torts Landlord/Tenant Negligence Other Torts Unlawful Detainer Auto Product Liability Other Landlord/Tenant ☐ Premises Liability Intentional Misconduct Title to Property Other Negligence Employment Tort Judicial Foreclosure Malpractice ☐ Insurance Tort Other Title to Property Medical/Dental Other Tort Other Real Property Legal Condemnation/Eminent Domain Accounting Other Real Property Other Malpractice Construction Defect & Contract Probate Judicial Review/Appeal Probate (select case type and estate value) **Construction Defect** Judicial Review Summary Administration Chapter 40 Foreclosure Mediation Case General Administration Other Construction Defect Petition to Seal Records Special Administration Contract Case ☐ Mental Competency Set Aside Uniform Commercial Code Nevada State Agency Appeal ☐ Trust/Conservatorship ☐ Building and Construction Department of Motor Vehicle Other Probate Insurance Carrier ☐ Worker's Compensation Estate Value Commercial Instrument Other Nevada State Agency Over \$200,000 Collection of Accounts Appeal Other Between \$100,000 and \$200,000 Employment Contract Appeal from Lower Court Under \$100,000 or Unknown Other Contract Other Judicial Review/Appeal Under \$2,500 Civil Writ Other Civil Filing Civil Writ Other Civil Filing Writ of Habeas Corpus Writ of Prohibition Compromise of Minor's Claim Writ of Mandamus Other Civil Writ ☐ Foreign Judgment Writ of Quo Warrant Other Civil Matters Business Court filings should be filed using the Business Court civil coversheet.

Signature of initiating party or represen

Case 2:15-cv-01523-JAD-PAL Document 1-2 Filed 08/10/15 Page 4 of 21 Electronically Filed 07/02/2015 10:29:26 AM 1 Marquis Aurbach Coffing Scott A. Marquis, Esq. 2 Nevada Bar No. 6407 Candice E. Renka, Esq. CLERK OF THE COURT 3 Nevada Bar No. 11447 10001 Park Run Drive 4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Telephone: (702) 382-0711 5 Facsimile: (702) 382-5816 smarquis@maclaw.com 6 crenka@maclaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 MICHAEL R. YAZDI, individually and on 10 behalf of all persons similarly situated, A-15-720869-C Case No .: 11 Dept. No.: ΧV Plaintiff. 12 VS. **COMPLAINT** 13 CONNECT AMERICA.COM, LLC a Delaware 14 limited liability company dba MEDICAL ARBITRATION EXEMPTION ALARM; DOÉS I through X, inclusive; and REQUESTED: CLASS ACTION 15 ROE CORPORATIONS I through X, inclusive, COMPLAINT 16 Defendants. 17 18 Plaintiff Michael R. Yazdi (hereinafter "Plaintiff") by and through his attorneys of 19 record, the law firm of Marquis Aurbach Coffing, alleges and complains as follows: 20 **PARTIES** 21 1. Plaintiff MICHAEL R. YAZDI is and was at all times relevant herein, an 22 individual who resides in Clark County, Nevada. 23 2. Defendant CONNECT AMERICA.COM, LLC is a Delaware limited liability company dba MEDICAL ALARM is and was at all times relevant herein, a Delaware limited 24 25 liability company doing business in Clark County, Nevada. 26 111

MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING

10001 Park Run Driv

Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816

27

28

111

1//

3. The names and capacities, whether individuals, corporate, associate or otherwise of Defendants named herein as DOE and ROE CORPORATION are unknown or not yet confirmed. Upon information and belief, said DOE and ROE CORPORATION Defendants are responsible for damages suffered by Plaintiff and, therefore, Plaintiff sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will ask leave to amend this Complaint to show the true names and capacities of each DOE and ROE CORPORATION Defendant at such time as the same has been ascertained.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This Court possesses subject matter jurisdiction over this matter because Clark County, Nevada is the judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 5. This is a proposed class action brought on behalf of consumers that received telemarketing calls to their cell phones made by using a prerecorded voice or an automated telephone dialing system ("ATDS") by or on behalf of Defendant, for which calls the Defendant never obtained express, written consent from the consumers.
- 6. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant's use of a prerecorded voice or an ATDS to make telemarketing phone calls to consumers' cell phones without prior express, written consent violates the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991 ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227, and the Nevada Deceptive Trade Practices Act, ("NVDTPA"), NRS Chapter 598. Moreover, a violation of the TCPA is a *per se* violation of the NVDTPA. NRS 598.023(3).
- 7. The TCPA, as interpreted by Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") prohibits the use of a prerecorded voice or an ATDS to make telemarketing calls to consumers' cell phones unless the consumer has provided express, written consent.
- 8. Plaintiff brings this action in his individual capacity and on behalf of the classes of persons similarly situated, as defined below.

27 | ///

28 | ///

Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 :382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816 (702)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CENE	RAT.	ÁŦĬ	TCA	TIONS
CEIL	\mathbf{n}	ALL	ょこんてん	VI IVINO

- 9. Defendant is a company that sells medical alert alarm systems to consumers.
- 10. Defendant operates at least two websites: connectamerica.com ("Connect America Website") and medicalalarm.com ("Medical Alarm Website").
- 11. The Connect America Website identifies several "Connect America Brands," including Medical Alert, Medical Alarm System, Alert Buddy, Guardian On Call, Silent Caregiver, Medical Alarm.com, Medical Alert System.com, Liberty Alert, and i Care Alert.
- The Medical Alarm Website is similar, and the logo identifies Medical Alarm as 12. "a Connect America.com, LLC Company."
- 13. On or about March 19, 2015 at approximately 8:00 a.m., Plaintiff received a call on his cell phone, and when he answered it was a prerecorded voice message from Defendant attempting to sell Plaintiff a medical alarm system.
 - 14. The call came from telephone number (909) 620-6597.
- 15. The prerecorded message was generic, attempting to sell Plaintiff a medical alarm system.
 - 16. Plaintiff did not provide Defendant his cell phone number.
- 17. The purpose of using a prerecorded voice message is to reach as many consumers as possible as efficiently and cheaply as possible, which purpose would best be served using an ATDS.
- 18. Upon information and belief, Defendant made the call using equipment which has the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called using a random or sequential number generator and to dial such numbers.
 - 19. Upon information and belief, Defendant made the call using an ATDS.
 - 20. Plaintiff received the call on his cell phone while he was in Las Vegas, Nevada.
- Plaintiff has never done business with Defendant. Plaintiff has never provided 21. Defendant express, written consent to receive telemarketing calls made using a prerecorded voice or an ATDS to Plaintiff's cell phone.

	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
0180	13
-795 (707) 387-	14
	15
/11 F#	16
(104) 384-0/1	17
70/)	18
	19
	20
	21

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

	22.	Defendant knew or should have known that it did not have the prior express
writter	n consei	at of Plaintiff or any other members of the classes to use a prerecorded voice or an
ATDS	to mak	e telemarketing calls to their cell phones.

- 23. As a result of Defendant's conduct, class members have suffered damages in that they have been charged by their cell phone carrier for minutes, time, or usage for the calls made by Defendant.
- 24. As a result of Defendant's conduct, class members have suffered a violation of their right to privacy.
- 25. As a result of Defendant's conduct, class members have suffered a violation of their rights under the TCPA and the NVDTPA.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

NATIONAL CLASS

26, Plaintiff brings this action pursuant to NRCP 23 as a class action on behalf of himself and on behalf of a National Class defined as:

ALL PERSONS RESIDING IN THE UNITED STATES WHO RECEIVED A TELEMARKETING CALL ON THEIR CELL PHONE. PRERECORDED VOICE OR AN AUTOMATED TELEPHONE DIALING SYSTEM, BY OR ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT, WITHIN THE FOUR YEARS PRIOR TO THE DATE OF THE FILING OF THIS COMPLAINT.

27. Plaintiff and members of the National Class received telemarketing calls to their cell phones, made using a prerecorded voice or an ATDS by or on behalf of Defendant and had not provided Defendant express, written consent to receive such calls, within the four years prior to the date of the filing of this Complaint, in violation of the TCPA.

NEVADA CLASS

28. Plaintiff also brings this action on behalf of a Class comprised of Nevada residents ("Nevada Class") defined as:

PERSONS IN RECEIVED NEVADA WHOTELEMARKETING ON THEIR CELL PHONE. PRERECORDED VOICE OR AN AUTOMATED TELEPHONE DIALING SYSTEM, BY OR ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT, WITHIN THE FOUR YEARS PRIOR TO THE DATE OF THE FILING OF THIS COMPLAINT.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	29.	Plaintiff and members of the Nevada Class received telemarketing calls to their
cell p	hones, u	sing a prerecorded voice or an ATDS by or on behalf of Defendant and had not
provid	led Defe	endant express, written consent to receive such calls, within the four years prior to
the da	te of the	filing of this Complaint, in violation of the NVDTPA.

- 30. The requirements for maintaining this action as a class action under Rule 23 of the Nevada Rules of Civil procedure are satisfied:
- NUMEROSITY: It is impracticable to bring all members of the National Class 31. and the Nevada Class (collectively, "Class Members") before the Court. Plaintiff estimates that there are thousands of Class Members, geographically spread throughout the United States, and that their identities can be ascertained from Defendant's books and records. Attempting to join and name each Class member as a Co-Plaintiff would be unreasonable and impracticable.
- 32. COMMONALITY: There is a well-defined commonality of interest in the questions of law and fact involving Class Members in that all Class Members received telemarketing calls, made using a prerecorded voice or an ATDS, to their cell phones, from Defendant in violation of the TCPA and NVDTPA.
- PREDOMINANCE: There are questions of law and fact common to the Class 33. Members, which are identical for each Class Member and which predominate over the questions affecting the individual Class Members, if any, which include:
- Whether Defendant violated the TCPA and the NVDTPA when using a a. prerecorded voice or an ATDS to make telemarketing calls to Class Members' cell phones without express, written consent:
 - b. Whether Defendant used a prerecorded voice to make the calls;
 - Whether Defendant used an ATDS to make the calls; c.
 - d. Whether the calls were telemarketing calls:
 - Whether the calls were made to Class Members' cell phones; ę.
- Whether the Class Members provided express, written consent to receive f. the calls;

24

25

26

27

28

g. Whether Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to damages under the TCPA;
TCPA:
h. Whether Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to damages under the
NVDTPA;
i. Whether Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to treble damages under
the TCPA; and
j. Whether Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to injunctive relief under
the TCPA.
34. TYPICALITY: The claims of the Plaintiff are typical of the Class Members in
that Plaintiff is informed and believes that each Class Member received a telemarketing call from
Defendant, made using a prerecorded voice or an ATDS to their cell phone without having
provided express, written consent to Defendant. Also, there is uniformity in the federal and
state legislation prohibiting the practice complained of and providing statutory damages that may
be pursued in state court. In this action, Plaintiff and the Class Members seek an injunction
prohibiting Defendant's using a prerecorded voice or an ATDS to make telemarketing calls to
consumers' cell phones without express, written consent; damages; attorney fees; and costs
incurred in the prosecution of this action.
35. ADEQUACY: Plaintiff is willing to devote the time necessary to serve as
representative of the National Class and the Nevada Class and work with class counsel.
Plaintiff's attorneys are experienced and knowledgeable in class action litigation in Nevada, and
they will fairly and adequately represent the interests of the National Class and the Nevada Class
and have no interests antagonistic to the class.
36. SUPERIORITY: There is no plain, speedy or adequate remedy other than
maintenance of this class action since Plaintiff is informed and believes that a class action is

- n is superior to any other available means for the adjudication of this controversy.
- This action will cause an orderly and expeditious administration of the Class 37. Members' claims. A class action will save time, effort and expense, and will ensure uniformity of decisions at the lowest cost and with the least toll on judicial resources.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

38.	Because the loss suffered by individual Class Members may be relatively small,
the expense a	nd burden of individual litigation makes it impracticable for the Class Members to
individually s	eek redress. Plaintiff believes that Class Members, to the extent they are aware of
their rights ag	gainst Defendant, would be unable to secure counsel to litigate their claims on an
individual ba	sis because of the relatively small nature of the individual damages warranted
and/or the val	ue of individual injunctive relief.

Hence, a class action is the only feasible means of recovery for the Class 39. Members. Furthermore, without a class action, Class Members will continue to suffer damages and Defendant will continue to violate federal and state law, retaining and reaping the proceeds of their wrongful marketing practices.

MANAGEABILITY: There are no manageability problems due to variations in state laws or choice of law provisions, because the TCPA applies to the claims of all the Class Members asserted herein, and Nevada law applies to the Nevada Class. Further, the evidence proving Defendant's violation of the statutes is ascertainable through discovery. The identities of the Class Members are known by Defendant, and damages can be calculated from Defendant's records. Thus, this action poses no unusual difficulties that would impede its management by the Court as a class action.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act 47 USC § 227 et seq.)

- 40. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the paragraphs above as though fully stated herein.
- Defendant used a prerecorded voice or an ATDS to make telemarketing calls to 41. the Class Members' cell phones without express, written consent from the Class Members.
- By using an ATDS, Defendant was able to effectively send thousands of 42. telemarketing calls to thousands of consumers' cell phone numbers.
- Defendant's calls were made using an artificial prerecorded voice. By using an 43. ATDS and a prerecorded message, Defendant is able to simultaneously call thousands of wireless customers without any human intervention and at very little cost to Defendant.

IARQUIS AURBACH COFFING	10001 Park Run Drive	Las Vegas, Nevada 89145	(702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816
MAR)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

÷	44.	Upon information and belief, Defendant used the prerecorded voi	ce or an ATDS
to mal	ce the ca	alls or had a high degree of involvement in the making of the calls	. For example,
Defen	dant:		

- Knew that the calls were being made with an ATDS: a.
- Knew that the calls were being made using a prerecorded voice; Ъ.
- c. Knew that the calls were telemarketing calls;
- Knew that the calls were being made to Class Members' cell phones; d.
- e. Knew that the Class Members had not provided express, written consent to receive such calls;
 - f. Participated in preparing the content of the calls or messages;
 - Provided or obtained the cell numbers of the Class Members; and g.
 - h. Received compensation for or a benefit from making such calls.
- 45. As a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and the Class Members have suffered damages by being charged by their cell phone carriers for the minutes, time, or usage for the calls made by Defendant; have suffered a violation of their right to privacy; and have suffered a violation of their rights under the TCPA and the NVDTPA.
- It has become necessary for Plaintiff and Class Members to engage the services of 46. an attorney to prosecute this action; therefore, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to costs and attorney fees as special damages.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Violation of the Nevada Deceptive Trade Practices Act NRS Chapter 598)

- 47. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the paragraphs above as though fully stated herein.
- 48. Defendant willfully and knowingly violated the TCPA, which is a statute relating to the sale or lease of goods or services. NRS 41.600; NRS 598.0923(3).
 - 49. Defendant engaged in a deceptive trade practice.
- 50. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's deceptive trade practice, Plaintiff and Nevada Class Members have suffered damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 51. Plaintiff and Nevada Class Members are victims of consumer fraud and are therefore entitled to damages as well as attorney fees and costs. NRS 41.600.
- 52. It has become necessary for Plaintiff and Class Members to engage the services of an attorney to prosecute this action; therefore, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to costs and attorney fees as special damages.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Declaratory Relief)

- 53. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the paragraphs above as though fully stated herein.
- 54. A genuine dispute exists between the parties regarding the Defendant's illegal calls to Plaintiff and Class Members.
 - 55. The interests of the parties are adverse.
- 56. This Court should declare that based upon the Defendant's violation of federal and Nevada law, that Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to \$500 damages per call, treble damages for each call, injunctive relief, attorney fees, and costs.
- 57. It has become necessary for Plaintiff and Class Members to engage the services of an attorney to prosecute this action; therefore, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to costs and attorney fees as special damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for the following relief against Defendant:

- 1. Certification of the National Class and Nevada Class;
- 2. A Declaration that Defendant has violated the TCPA because it failed to obtain express, written consent to make the calls to Plaintiff or the Class Members;
- 3. Judgment in the amount of \$500 for Plaintiff and each member of the National Class and the Nevada Class for statutory damages for each call received by them from Defendant;
- 4. Judgment in the amount equal to three times the damages to Plaintiff and the Class Members under the TCPA;

Case 2:15-cv-01523-JAD-PAL Document 1-2 Filed 08/10/15 Page 13 of 21

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
816	13
) 382-5	14
11 FAX: (702) 382-581(15
	16
(702) 382-0711	17
(702)	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27
	28

MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING

10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

5.	Extraordinary,	equitable,	and/or	injunctive	relief a	s permitted	by	law	to	ensure
that Defendan	t will stop maki	ng the illeg	al calls	• •						

- 6. For an award of reasonable attorney fees and costs of suit; and
- 7. For any further relief as the Court deems to be just and proper. Dated this 2 day of July, 2015.

MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING

Scott A. Marquis, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 6407 Candice E. Renka, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 11447 10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Attorneys for Plaintiff

1	Marquis Aurbach Coffing
2	Scott A. Marquis, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 6407
3	Candice E. Renka, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 11447
4	10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
5	Telephone: (702) 382-0711 Facsimile: (702) 382-5816
6	smarquis@maclaw.com crenka@maclaw.com
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff
8	DISTRICT COURT
9	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
10	MICHAEL R. YAZDI, individually and on behalf of all persons similarly situated,
11	Plaintiff,
12	VS.
13	CONNECT AMERICA.COM, LLC a Delaware limited liability company dba MEDICAL
14	ALARM; DOES I through X, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS I through X, inclusive,
15	Defendants.
16	INITIAL APPEARANCE FEE DISCLOSURE
17	Pursuant to NRS Chapter 19, as amended by Senate Bill 106, filing fees are submitted for
18	parties appearing in the above-entitled action as indicated below:
19	MICHAEL R. YAZDI \$270.00
20	TOTAL REMITTED \$270.00
21	Dated this 2 day of July, 2015.
22	I () DOYNG A YYDD A GYY G ODDD YG
23	MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING
24	- maling of ups
25	Scott A. Marquis, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 6407
26	Candice E. Renka, Esq.
27	Nevada Bar No. 11447 10001 Park Run Drive
28	Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Attorneys for Plaintiff
	Page 1 of 1 MAC: 2551613 1 7/2/2015 10:24 AM

MAC: 2551613_1 7/2/2015 10:24 AM

Case 2:15-cv-01523-JAD-PAL Document 1-2 Filed 08/10/15 Page 15 of 21

1	Marquis Aurbach Coffing Scott A. Marquis, Esq.	Electronically Filed 07/13/2015 04:21:22 PM				
2	Nevada Bar No. 6407 Candice E. Renka, Esq.	1 . 10				
3	Nevada Bar No. 11447 10001 Park Run Drive	Alm & Lehrum				
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89145	CLERK OF THE COURT				
5	Telephone: (702) 382-0711 Facsimile: (702) 382-5816					
6	smarquis@maclaw.com crenka@maclaw.com					
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff					
8	DISTRIC					
9	CLARK COUN	TY, NEVADA				
10	MICHAEL R. YAZDI, individually and on behalf of all persons similarly situated,	G N A 16 720000 G				
11	Plaintiff,	Case No.: A-15-720869-C Dept. No.: XV				
12	VS.					
13	CONNECT AMERICA.COM, LLC a Delaware limited liability company dba MEDICAL					
14	ALARM; DOES I through X, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS I through X, inclusive,					
15	ROD COID OID THOUGH A, Inclusive,					
16	Defendants.					
17	JURY DI	EMAND				
18	Plaintiff, Michael R. Yazdi, by and through his counsel of record, the law firm of					
19	Marquis Aurbach Coffing, hereby demands a jury trial of all the issues in the above-entitled					
20	matter.					
21	Dated this Dated this day of July, 2015.					
22						
23	MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING					
24	Manalia Rankon					
25	Scott A. Marquis, Esq.					
26	Nevada Bar No. 6407 Candice E. Renka, Esq.					
27	Nevada Bar No. 11447 10001 Park Run Drive					
28	Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Attorneys for Plaintiff					
	Page 1	of 1 MAC:13913-002 2559120_1 7/13/2015 4:11 PM				
II						

MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING
10001 Park Run Drive
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
(702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816

8 9 10 11 VS. MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING 12 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816 CONNECT AMERICA.COM, LLC a Delaware 13 limited liability company dba MEDICAL 14 ALARM; DOES I through X, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS I through X, inclusive, 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 1. 22 111

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Electronically Filed	l
07/13/2015 04:22:02	PM

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT

Case 2:15-cv-01523-JAD-PAL Document 1-2 Filed 08/10/15 Page 16 of 21

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

MICHAEL R. YAZDI, individually and on behalf of all persons similarly situated,

Marquis Aurbach Coffing Scott A. Marquis, Esq. Nevada Bar Ño. 6407

Candice E. Renka, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 11447

10001 Park Run Drive

Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Telephone: (702) 382-0711

Facsimile: (702) 382-5816 smarquis@maclaw.com crenka@maclaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Plaintiff,

Defendants.

Case No.:

A-15-720869-C

Dept. No.:

XV

NRCP RULE 7.1 DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The undersigned counsel of record certifies that the following are persons and entities as described in NRCP 7.1, and must be disclosed. These representations are made in order that the judges of this court may evaluate possible disqualification or recusal.

Michael R. Yazdi is an individual.

23

24

25

111

26 27

28 111

Page 1 of 2

MAC:13913-002 2559124 1 7/13/2015 4:13 PM

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	i i
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

2.	Plaintiff is unaware if Defendants have any parent corporation or whether any
publicly held	orporation owns 10% or more of Defendants' stock.

Dated this 13 day of July, 2015.

MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING

Scott A. Marquis, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 6407
Candice E. Renka, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 11447
10001 Park Run Drive
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Cast 2:15-cv-01523-JAD-PAL Document 1-2 Filed 08/10/15 Page 18 of 21 Electronically Filed 07/16/2015 09:31:46 AM CLERK OF THE COURT Marquis Aurbach Coffing 1 Scott A. Marquis, Esq. 2 Nevada Bar No. 6407 Candice E. Renka, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 11447 3 10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Telephone: (702) 382-0711 Facsimile: (702) 382-5816 4 5 smarquis@maclaw.com 6 crenka@maclaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 MICHAEL R. YAZDI, individually and on 10 behalf of all persons similarly situated, Case No .: A-15-720869-C 11 Plaintiff, Dept. No.: XV VS. MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING 12 10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816 CONNECT AMERICA.COM, LLC a Delaware 13 limited liability company dba MEDICAL 14 ALARM; DOES I through X, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS I through X, inclusive, 15 16 Defendants. 17 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE 18 /// 19 20 21 22 23 111 24 25 26 27 28 111

MAC:13913-002 iManage 2561533 1 7/16/2015 9:33 AM

Affidavit of Process Server

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY STATE OF NEVADA

MICHAEL R. YAZDI	VS	CONNECT	AMERICA.COM	l, LLC, et al.	. A-1	5-720869-C
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER		DEFENDANT/	RESPONDENT		CAS	SE NUMBER
KEVIN S. DUNN not a party to this action, and that v perform said service. RECEIVED	vithin the boun	rst duly sworr daries of the	n, depose and s state where ser	ay: that I a	m over the	e age of 18 years and vas authorized by law to
Service: I served CONNECT AM	ERICA.COM,	LLC, dba ME PERSON / ENTI	DICAL ALARI TY BEING SERVE	M D		,,,,
with (list documents) SUMMONS &	& COMPLAIN	Τ				
by leaving with KATHLEEN MOO			AUTHORIZ RELATIONSHIE		ON	At
☐ Residence			RELATIONSHIP			
ADDR Business DELAWARE REGISTION ADDR	ERED AGENTS	AND INCORE	CITY / ST ORATORS, LLC CITY / ST	19 KRIS	CT., NEW	ARK, DE 19702
On 7/9/15		AT 3:05 P	M			
DATE			TIME			
Thereafter copies of the document	s were mailed	by prepaid, f	irst class mail d	on		
from					DAT	E
CITY Manner of Service:	STATE	ZIP				
CORPORATE SERVICE						
☐ Personal: By personally deliver						
☐ Substituted at Residence: By loserved with a member of the house	eaving copies	at the dwellin	ig house or usu	ial place of	f abode of	the person being
☐ Substituted at Business: By lea	avina, durina d	office hours. o	and expla	ming the g fice of the	enerai na: nerson/en	ture of the papers.
 the person apparently in charge the 	ereof.					
☐ Posting: By posting copies in a						-
Non-Service: After due search, unable to effect process upon th	careful inquiry e person/entity	and diligent being serve	attempts at the d because of th	address (ne following	es) listed a g reason(s	above, I have been s):
☐ Unknown at Address ☐ Moved, L☐ Address Does Not Exist ☐ Other	eft no Forwardir	ng 🔲 Servic	e Cancelled by Li	itigant 🛮 U	nable to Se	rve in Timely Fashion
Sarvina Attampte: Candon was att	compled on /4			(0)		
Service Attempts: Service was att	empted on: (1	DATE	TIME	(2)	DATE	TIME
(3)	(4)		(5)		
DATE TIME	, / 	DATE	TIME		DATE	TIME
AGE 50 SexF	Race <u>W</u> He	eight <u>5'5</u>	Weight 160	HAIR	BROWN	<u>-</u>
				SIGNATUR	E OF PROC	ESS SERVER
				KEVIN S	. DUNN	
UBSCRIBED AND SWORN In the State of Delaware, New Castle C	ounty before me this 9	TH day	of JULY	,20′	15.	
MELISSA HARMON NOTARY PUBLIC					<u>~~</u>	
STATE OF DELAWARE My Commission Expires 12/29/201	E		. ———	SIGNATUR	RE OF NOTA	ARY PUBLIC
			NOTARY PUBLI	C for the stat	e of DEL	AWARE

1	Marquis Aurbach Coffing					
2	Scott A. Marquis, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 6407					
3	Candice E. Renka, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 11447					
4	10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145					
5	Telephone: (702) 382-0711 Facsimile: (702) 382-5816					
6	smarquis@maclaw.com crenka@maclaw.com					
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff					
8	DISTRICT COURT					
9	CLARK COUN	TY, NEVADA	A			
10	MICHAEL R. YAZDI, individually and on behalf of all persons similarly situated,	Case No.:	A-15-720869-C			
11	Plaintiff,	Dept. No.:	XV XV			
12	VS.					
13	CONNECT AMERICA.COM, LLC a Delaware					
14	limited liability company dba MEDICAL					
15	ALARM; DOES I through X, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS I through X, inclusive,	į.				
16	Defendants.					
17						
18	SUMMON	S - CIVIL				
19	NOTICE! YOU HAVE BEEN SUED. THE WITHOUT YOUR BEING HEARD UNLE	COURT MA	AY DECIDE AGAINST YOU			
20	READ THE INFORMATION BELOW.	65 100 KE	SIOND WIIMIN 20 DAYS.			
21	CONNECT AMERICA.COM, LLC a Delaware limited liability company dba MEDICAL ALARM					
22			(** 11 1 P) 1 100()			
23	TO THE DEFENDANT(S): A civil Complaint has been filed by the Plaintiff(s) against					
24	you for the relief set forth in the Complaint.					
25	1. If you intend to defend this lawsuit, within 20 days after this Summons is served					
26	on you, exclusive of the day of serv	vice, you must	do the following:			
27						
28						
	Page 1	of 2	MAC: 2552414_1 7/2/2015 2:32 PM			

2552414_1 7/2/2015 2:32 PM

(a)	File with the Clerk of this Court, whose address is shown below, a formal
	written response to the Complaint in accordance with the rules of the
	Court, with the appropriate filing fee.

- (b) Serve a copy of your response upon the attorney whose name and address is shown below.
- 2. Unless you respond, your default will be entered upon application of the Plaintiff(s) and failure to so respond will result in a judgment of default against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint, which could result in the taking of money or property or other relief requested in the Complaint.
- 3. If you intend to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your response may be filed on time.
- 4. The State of Nevada, its political subdivisions, agencies, officers, employees, board members, commission members and legislators each have 45 days after service of this Summons within which to file an Answer or other responsive pleading to the Complaint.

STEVEN D. GRIERSON CLERK OF COURT

By: Kan Chilly
Deputy Clerk

UL 0 7 2015

Date

Regional Justice Center

200 Lewis Avenue KORY SCHLITZ

Las Vegas, NV 89155

Issued at the direction of:

MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING

Scott A. Marquis, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 6407

Candice E. Renka, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 11447

10001 Park Run Drive

Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

Attorneys for Plaintiff